



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/582,262 | 10/10/2000 | John T. Wassom JR. | 06975-029004 | 3601 |

26171 7590 10/14/2003
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
1425 K STREET, N.W.
11TH FLOOR
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, NHON D

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2174

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/582,262

Applicant(s)

WASSOM ET AL.

Examiner

Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen

Art Unit

2174

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-35, and 37-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bodnar (US 6,544,295).

As per independent claim 1, Bodnar teaches a method of managing navigation information in a computer application, the method comprising:

establishing a global context that can communicate with a plurality of resources (col. 6, line 60 – col. 7, line 8), each resource residing in an associated local context (browsing the Internet Browser using different URLs);

communicating state information from one or more of the local contexts to the global context (browsing the Internet Browser using different URLs); and

maintaining global navigation information based on the communicated state information (col. 7, lines 35-51).

As per claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches the communication of state information occurs in response to a change in state in one or more of the local contexts (col. 7, lines 43-51).

As per claim 3, which is dependent on claim 2, Bodnar teaches the change in state in a local context comprises a change in a title associated with a resource or a change in an address associated with the resource, or both (col. 7, lines 43-51).

As per claims 4 and 5, which are dependent on claims 2 and 4 respectively, since Bodnar's system is an Internet Browser (col. 7, lines 3-4), it is inherent that the change in state in a local context is triggered by input from a user of the computer application in which the user's triggering input comprises clicking on a Back/Forward navigation button, selecting an address from a displayed history list, or typing an address in an address field.

As per claims 6 and 7, which are dependent on claims 2 and 6 respectively, since Bodnar's system is an Internet Browser (col. 7, lines 3-4), it is inherent that the change in state in a local context is triggered by a computer process transparently to a computer user in which the transparent triggering comprises a title change notification from a host computer.

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 8, which is dependent on claim 1, Since Bodnar's system is an Internet Browser (col. 7, lines 3-4), it is inherent that the global navigation information comprises state information for global-context Back/Forward buttons displayed in a graphical user interface associated with the computer application.

As per claim 9, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches the global navigation information comprises state information for a global-context history list presented to a user of the computer application (fig. 7).

As per claims 10 and 11, which are dependent on claims 1 and 10 respectively, Bodnar teaches receiving navigation input from a user of the computer application; and changing a focus to move among the resources based on the received navigation input and the global navigation information in which changing the focus comprises activating a window associated with a resource (col. 7, lines 43-47).

As per claims 12 and 13, which are dependent on claims 1 and 12 respectively, Bodnar teaches maintenance of the global navigation information comprises selectively modifying the global navigation information depending on a manner in which a user interacts with the computer application, which comprises one or more of clicking a cursor in a window associated with a resource, clicking on a link in a window associated with a resource, clicking on a Back/Forward navigation button, selecting an address from a displayed history list, or typing an address in an address field (doing these interactions do not modify the bookmark history list).

As per claims 14 and 15, which are dependent on claims 1 and 14 respectively, Since Bodnar's system is an Internet Browser, it is inherent that maintenance of the global navigation information comprises pruning a navigation tree, in which pruning the navigation tree comprises: determining that a user of the computer application is accessing a new address; and deleting forward button state information.

As per claim 16, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches changing focus from a current window to a previously accessed window based on the global navigation information (col. 7, lines 43-47).

As per claim 17, which is dependent on claim 16, Bodnar teaches if a window associated with the previously accessed address has been closed, spawning a new instance of that window (col. 7, lines 3-4).

As per claims 18 and 19, which are dependent on claims 16 and 18 respectively, Bodnar teaches changing focus from a current window to a previously accessed window comprises using local-context navigation information maintained by a resource when navigating within that resource's local context, in which the resource maintaining local-context navigation information comprises a browser application (col. 3, line 65 – col. 4, line 5).

As per claim 20, which is dependent on claim 1, it is inherent in Bodnar's system that a user can specify whether closing a window associated with a resource results in deletion of the window from the global navigation information.

As per claim 21, which is dependent on claim 1, it is inherent in Bodnar's system that maintenance of the global navigation information comprises deleting navigation information corresponding to a closed window.

As per claim 22, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches one or more of the resources comprises a browser application (col. 13, lines 65-66).

As per claim 23, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches one or more of the resources comprises a nonbrowser application (col. 13, lines 65-66).

As per claim 24, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches the computer application comprises online service client software (*Internet Browser*, col. 6, line 60 – col. 7, line 8).

As per claim 25, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches the global navigation information comprises a navigation path to move among resources (fig. 7).

As per claim 26, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches in which the communicated state information comprises a Uniform Resource Locator address (col. 13, lines 65-66).

As per claim 27, which is dependent on claim 1, Bodnar teaches the communicated state information comprises a non-internet network address (col. 13, lines 65-66).

As per independent claim 28, Bodnar teaches a method of managing a history list in a computer application, the method comprising:

receiving state information from a plurality of independent resources, each resource residing in an associated local context (browsing the Internet Browser using different URLs);

based on the received state information, maintaining a history of resources accessed by a user of the computer application; and presenting a global-context history list representative of an order in which the resources were accessed (fig. 7).

As per claims 29, which is dependent on claim 28, Bodnar teaches enabling a user of the computer application to return to any of the listed resources by selecting a desired resource from the global-context history list (col. 9, lines 20-22).

As per claim 30, which is dependent on claim 28 in which a resource communicates state information in response to a change in state in the resource's local context (col. 9, lines 20-22).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claims 31 and 32, which are both dependent on claim 30, it is inherent in Bodnar's system that the change in state in the resource's local context comprises a change in an address associated with that resource and in which the change in state in the resource's local context comprises a change in a title associated with that resource.

As per claim 33, which is dependent on claim 28, Bodnar teaches the global-context history list presented to the user selectively omits an identity of one or more of the accessed resources (col. 10, line 62 – col. 11, line 4).

As per claims 34 and 35, which are dependent on claims 34 and 35 respectively, they are rejected under the same rationale as claims 12 and 13 respectively.

As per claim 37, which is dependent on claim 28, it is inherent in Bodnar's system that maintenance of the history comprises adding a new entry to a top of a list if the resource had not been accessed previously.

As per claim 38, which is dependent on claim 28, Bodnar teaches maintenance of the history comprises rearranging entries in a list if the resource had been accessed previously (clicking on *Name*, *Updated*, or *Type* in fig. 7 would prompt the history list to be rearranged).

As per claim 39, which is dependent on claim 28, Bodnar teaches the history of resources corresponds to a navigation path among resources (fig. 7).

As per claim 40, which is dependent on claim 28, Bodnar teaches the state information received from a resource comprises a Uniform Resource Locator address (col. 13, lines 65-66).

As per claim 41, which is dependent on claim 28, Bodnar teaches the state information received from a resource comprises a non-internet network address (col. 13, lines 65-66).

As independent claims 42 and 44, they are rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

As per claim 43, which is dependent on 42, Bodnar teaches graphical controls that enable a user of an application to move among resources based on the global-context navigation information (fig. 9A and 9B).

As per claims 45 and 46, which are dependent on claims 44 and 45 respectively, they are rejected under the same rationale as claim 43.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bodnar.

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 36, which is dependent on claim 28, the Examiner take Official Notice that it is well known to display presentation of the global-context history list in a drop-down list. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify Bodnar's system to include a drop-down list displaying presentation of the global-context history since it would conserve the window space.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 6310630 B1 to Kulkarni, Salil Janardan et al. discloses Data processing system and method for internet browser history generation.

US 6208995 B1 to Himmel, Maria Azua et al. discloses Web browser download of bookmark set.

US 5717860 A to Graber, Terry E. et al. discloses Method and apparatus for tracking the navigation path of a user on the world wide web.

Inquiries

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon (Gary) D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-8318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8 AM to 5:30 PM with every other Friday off.

Art Unit: 2174

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Nhon (Gary) Nguyen
September 30, 2003

Kristine Kincaid
KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

09/582262

Attorney's Docket No.: 06975-029004

534 Rec'd PCT/PTO 23 JUN 2000

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : John T. WASSOM, Jr. et al. Art Unit : Unknown
Serial No. : New Examiner : Unknown
Filed : June 23, 2000
Title : MANAGING NAVIGATION AND HISTORY INFORMATION

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT


Applicant submits the references listed on the attached form PTO-1449, copies of which are enclosed. A copy of The International Search Report is also enclosed.

This statement is being filed with the application. Please apply any charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: _____

6/23/2000



W. Karl Renner
Reg. No. 41,265

Fish & Richardson P.C.
601 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 783-5070
Facsimile: (202) 783-2331